

OsmoMGW - Bug #2655

osmo-mgw DLCX doesn't work with connection-id specified

11/18/2017 08:11 PM - laforge

Status: Closed	Start date: 11/18/2017
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee: laforge	% Done: 100%
Category:	
Target version:	
Description TC_crcx_and_dlcx_ep_callid_connid currently fails when specifying the connection ID in the DLCX. It works when only the call-id is specified, without connection ID.	

History

#1 - 01/22/2018 08:32 PM - dexter

While working on the client FSM I observed the following:

This was sent by the client:

```
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Received message: line #00: DLCX 3 rtpbridge/0@mgw.osmocom.org MGCP 1.0
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Received message: line #01: C: 4d2
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Received message: line #02: I: 26A09B8CF52BF99F0AA2C95EFA64DA9C
```

This was the response by the MGW:

```
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Generated response: line #00: 250 3 OK
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Generated response: line #01: P: PS=0, OS=0, PR=0, OR=0, PL=0, JI=0
<0011> mgcp_msg.c:64 Generated response: line #02: X-Osmo-CP: EC TI=0, TO=0
```

Probably 250 isnt the right return code?

#2 - 01/23/2018 12:40 AM - laforge

On Mon, Jan 22, 2018 at 08:32:15PM +0000, dexter [REDMINE] wrote:

Probably 250 isnt the right return code?

I think 250 is exactly the right response code for confirming successful DLCX ;)

See spec:

250 The connection was deleted. This return code can only be used for a successful response to a DeleteConnection command.

#3 - 02/05/2018 12:09 PM - dexter

- Status changed from New to Feedback
- Assignee changed from dexter to laforge

I wonder if this problem is still present. At least in the last jenkins run I do not see TC_crcx_and_dlcx_ep_callid_connid listed as erroneous.

#4 - 02/06/2018 01:14 AM - laforge

- Status changed from Feedback to Closed
- % Done changed from 0 to 100