

## OsmoBSC - Bug #2283

### Inter-BSC hand-over is missing (BSC side)

05/22/2017 06:53 PM - laforge

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	05/22/2017
<b>Priority:</b>	Urgent	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	neels	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	A interface		
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Spec Reference:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
We would like to have inter-BSC hand-over support on the A interface, and test that with the related inter-BSC hand-over support in OsmoMSC (see <a href="#">#1609</a> )			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to OsmoMSC - Feature #1609: Inter-BSC hand-over is missing (MSC side)		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>11/21/2016</b> <b>11/21/2016</b>
Related to OsmoBSC - Feature #1749: add activation timeout in bsc_handover_st...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>06/13/2016</b>
Related to OsmoBSC - Bug #3211: gskon breaks dynamic timeslots: TCH/F_TCH/H_P...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>04/24/2018</b>
Related to OsmoBSC - Feature #3505: ttcn3: test inter-BSC HO with encryption ...		<b>New</b>	<b>08/28/2018</b>
Related to OsmoBSC - Bug #3839: inter-BSC Handover lacks AoIP Transport Layer...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>03/14/2019</b>
Blocked by OsmoBSC - Bug #3296: TCH lchan allocation is non-modular and also ...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>05/28/2018</b>
Blocked by OsmoBSC - Bug #3351: OML Channel OPSTART ACK of bts 1..N all end u...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>06/15/2018</b>

#### History

##### #1 - 05/22/2017 06:53 PM - laforge

- Related to Feature #1609: Inter-BSC hand-over is missing (MSC side) added

##### #2 - 08/15/2017 04:33 PM - laforge

##### #3 - 10/29/2017 06:29 PM - laforge

- Priority changed from Normal to Urgent

##### #4 - 10/29/2017 06:30 PM - laforge

##### #5 - 11/07/2017 08:09 PM - laforge

##### #6 - 11/07/2017 08:09 PM - laforge

- Assignee changed from sysmocom to dexter

##### #7 - 12/04/2017 08:47 PM - dexter

There is not much done here yet. I am currently reading the specification when there is some spare time.

Since we start at the BSC we can either be in the situation of the BSC that hands the subscriber over, or we can be in the situation of the BSC that receives the subscriber. Given that the MSC is controlling the two BSCs independently and the two BSC never talk to each other (its only a MSC<=>BSC SCCP link) we should probably simulate this two cases separately.

##### #8 - 12/10/2017 08:17 PM - laforge

- Category set to A interface

##### #9 - 01/04/2018 11:15 AM - laforge

- Status changed from New to Stalled

let's stall this issue until we have a test case in the BSC tester against which we can test the development.

#10 - 02/12/2018 02:25 PM - dexter

Note: This problem should be fixed with the GSCON FSM, but in its current state it does not yet support any type of handover (see also [#2823](#))

#11 - 02/20/2018 12:06 PM - laforge

- Status changed from Stalled to New

- Assignee changed from dexter to neels

#12 - 02/20/2018 01:13 PM - laforge

so we have two parts to this:

- a) BSC is handing the connection out
- b) BSC is handing the connection in

## Outbound from BSC

For the outbound case, we need to:

### Handover decision

- advertise "foreign" neighbor cell ARFCNs
- determine if a candidate in the neighbor list / measurement results is a local or a foreign cell
- in case of local cells, behave like before
- in case of a good foreign cell candidate, start outbound handover

### Handover execution

- send dBSSMAP HO REQUIRED to MSC
  - MSC may legally ignore those requests, we need to retransmit periodically (T7?)
- receive BSSMAP HANDOVER COMMAND from MSC
  - forward it to BTS/MS over old channel
- clear channel on BSSMAP CLEAR COMMAND from MSC (which we already do, unrelated to HO)
  - mgw state is cleared at this normal CLEAR COMMAND as usual

## Inbound Handover

- accept SCCP connection from MSC
- receive BSSMAP HANDOVER REQUEST
  - allocate lchan like in intra-BSC handover or on assignemnt command
  - build RR Handover Command message with channel description of allocated lchan
- respond with BSSMAP HANDOVER REQUEST ACK (containing HO CMD)
- wait for access bursts, RR HANDOVER ACCEPT on new lchan
  - send BSSMAP HANDOVER DETECTED to MSC
- wait for LAPDm establishment on new lchan
  - send BSSMAP HANDOVER COMPLETE to MSC

#13 - 02/26/2018 12:01 AM - neels

- Status changed from New to In Progress

I have started to warm up to the ttcn3-testsuite, which shall feature a test to trigger inter-BSC handover and verify that osmo-bsc sends all the right messages to the (as yet virtual) MSC.

Will base the new test upon copying BSC\_Tests.TC\_int\_ho and expanding from there.

#14 - 02/26/2018 11:07 PM - neels

It doesn't really make sense to write code for this before two ongoing efforts are merged:

1. the cell identifier list, worked on by stsp, see <https://gerrit.osmocom.org/#/c/6509/>. This changes the API to compose cell identifier lists, to be used in the Handover Required message sent to the MSC for inter-BSC handover.
2. the gskon FSM in osmo-bsc, worked on by pmaier, see <http://git.osmocom.org/osmo-bsc/log/?h=pmaier/fsm3> <http://git.osmocom.org/osmo-bsc/log/?h=neels/fsm3>. This changes the logic around events happening for a subscriber connection.

Both would require a considerable part of the code to be refactored if they get merged before I'm done, or if I were first, would complicate merging those other patches. Particularly the gskon patch is likely to substantially change where to trigger events from...

It's better to wait for those to be merged and start on that basis, placing new code in all the right places to begin with.

**#15 - 02/26/2018 11:07 PM - neels**

- Status changed from In Progress to Stalled

**#16 - 02/27/2018 06:27 AM - laforge**

Seems like a great opportunity not to stall this issue but to work on first writing the tests before writing the actual bsc code

**#17 - 02/28/2018 01:33 PM - neels**

I can try, but since ttcn3 is new to me I will probably write nonsense without ongoing verification.

**#18 - 03/21/2018 01:00 AM - neels**

- Status changed from Stalled to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 20

I have started off with trivial message composition and ttcn3 tests for only the BSC side.

<http://git.osmocom.org/osmo-ttcn3-hacks/diff/?h=neels/inter-bsc-ho&id=eb15e339b027c22781c0de3347a4514715503792>

(So far it's all crammed in BSC\_Tests.ttcn, bound to change probably)

I could use some help with the ttcn3:

- In f\_tc\_ho\_out\_of\_this\_bsc(),
  - How do I expect that RR Handover Command to go out towards the MS? One problem is that it is running on the wrong entity, the other is apparently the message type. I was expecting IPA\_RSL but that doesn't seem to like the RR message. So I need compose some RSL\_Message with IEs in it containing the RR??
  - The test fails at the final BSSAP.receive(tr\_BSSMAP\_ClearComplete); When I omit that it currently actually passes this WIP state. With the Clear Complete I get:  
"BSSMAP\_Emulation.ttcn:392 Dynamic test case error: Sending data on the connection of port CLIENT to 10:BSSAP failed. (Broken pipe)"  
How do I get rid of this failure?
- In f\_tc\_ho\_into\_this\_bsc()
  - I send a first Handover Request from the MSC by using f\_bssap\_tx\_ud() like in the paging tests. I see this Handover Request in the trace, yay. But I seem to continue in the wrong way from there, because I get this error from f\_start\_handler(), i.e. from code that should Just Start the Test(TM):  
"BSC\_Tests.ttcn:1405 Dynamic test case error: Using the value of an unbound component reference."  
here:

```
function f_start_handler(void_fn fn, charstring id) runs on test_CT return MSC_ConnHdlr {
    var MSC_ConnHdlr vc_conn;

    vc_conn := MSC_ConnHdlr.create(id);

    connect(vc_conn:BSSMAPEM, g_bssap.vc_BSSMAP:PROC); <----- on this line
```

When I try to debug the unboundness with a log() I can't get anything loggable besides vc\_conn, which logs just "TC\_ho\_into\_this\_bsc(16)"  
Is there something obvious I can fix there?

**#19 - 03/21/2018 11:50 PM - neels**

Another basic question: how do I get proper identification of the neighbor cell to the MSC?

The measurement reports see which ARFCN and BSIC would be suited.

But towards the MSC, I need to communicate like a LAI and Cell ID. How do I get from ARFCN+BSIC to a LAI?

Possible neighbor cell identification I can send to the MSC are:

- CGI
- LAC+CI
- LAI
- just LAC

Do we need to add manual config to osmo-bsc to have a table to convert BSIC to LAI?

**#20 - 03/22/2018 12:22 AM - neels**

how do I get proper identification of the neighbor cell to the MSC?

48.008 3.1.5.1.1 "Generation of the HANDOVER REQUIRED message" has to say only this:

```
[...]the "Cell Identifier List (preferred)" shall identify "n" preferred cells. The identified cells are given in order of preference. The algorithm by which the BSS produces this list is Operat or dependent and is not addressed in the present document.
```

So it seems that we indeed have to invent a way how the BSC knows about neighbor BSSs' ARFCN+BSICs, translate those to LAC+CI to send to the MSC in the Cell Identifier List.

(And also teach the MSC which LAC+CI are served by which BSS that have happened to connect via A, as commented over in [#1609](#).)

#### **#21 - 03/22/2018 03:00 AM - neels**

Hope it's the right way: I've added a fairly trivial list of ARFCN+BSIC -> Cell Identifier List mapping configurable in the config VTY, so that an ARFCN+BSIC that is not known in the local BSS can be resolved to a Cell Identifier List to send to the MSC. It's possible to add multiple entries, but I guess in practice the Cell Identifier List will have only one entry; The list struct is already useful to allow setting an entry of any of the types in that union, and that struct is also what the "Handover Required" API expects.

#### **#22 - 03/22/2018 07:24 AM - laforge**

On Wed, Mar 21, 2018 at 11:50:38PM +0000, neels [REDMINE] wrote:

Another basic question: how do I get proper identification of the neighbor cell to the MSC?

out-of-band configuration.

Do we need to add manual config to osmo-bsc to have a table to convert BSIC to LAI?

yes. "Normally" the "OMC" would do that as per the 3GPP architecture. The OMC is where you enter all your BTSs and related network configuration, and it then generates configuration for all the BSCs. All aspects of this, including related protocols are implementation specific.

I believe there's an SCCP sub-system number for BSSOMAP (BSS organization and maintenance protocol) but no actual definition, i.e. every vendor could do what they want, whether SCCP based or not.

I would suggest whatever we do for definition of those LAC lists per BSC, we should make sure it's a properly self-contained part of code, [to possibly put it in one of our libraries, if needed, not sure?] and make sure there's a [tested] VTY + CTRL interface for it. This way we keep the path open for a later central tool that would then push the related configs.

For now, I guess our assumption is that we're not running a self-organized network and hence the configuration is rather static. This means that one can suggest to use some generic configuration file templating mechanism in larger production deployments, as some of the sismocom customers are already using for years.

#### **#23 - 03/28/2018 02:19 AM - neels**

- Related to Feature #1749: add activation timeout in bsc\_handover\_start() added

#### **#24 - 04/25/2018 12:47 AM - neels**

- Related to Bug #3211: gscn breaks dynamic timeslots: TCH/F\_TCH/H\_PDCH cannot switch from PDCH to TCH added

#### **#25 - 05/17/2018 11:06 PM - neels**

- Blocks Feature #3277: handover: for intra-cell HO, use RR Assignment Command, not RR Handover Command added

#### **#26 - 05/28/2018 12:24 PM - neels**

- Blocked by Bug #3296: TCH lchan allocation is non-modular and also riddled with holes added

**#27 - 06/15/2018 04:55 PM - neels**

- % Done changed from 20 to 80

**#28 - 06/15/2018 04:55 PM - neels**

- Blocked by Bug #3351: OML Channel OPSTART ACK of bts 1..N all end up handled for bts 0 added

**#29 - 08/20/2018 11:23 AM - neels**

- Blocks deleted (Feature #3277: handover: for intra-cell HO, use RR Assignment Command, not RR Handover Command)

**#30 - 08/20/2018 11:28 AM - neels**

inter-BSC handover is now present in osmo-bsc master, but it is not properly tested yet. All configuration items and implementations are present, but it very likely still "hasn't learnt to walk".

a) pending: I have ttcn3 tests on a branch that I fail to have good progress with, mostly because I'm ttcn3 retarded.

b) pending: testing actual inter-bsc handover with an MSC implementation that supports it.

**#31 - 08/28/2018 09:28 AM - neels**

- Related to Feature #3505: ttcn3: test inter-BSC HO with encryption enabled added

**#32 - 09/12/2018 01:31 PM - neels**

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 80 to 100

Tests with an SCCPlite MSC have shown that we now have inter-BSC handover support in osmo-bsc.

This is merged to master as of

commit 5ac4d800e5c104eec8eac99147457c3aa13d8321

Change-Id: Ice37242c90c19adbf0795618fd16fe75f0809317

"inter-BSC HO outgoing: fix L3 forwarding"

**#33 - 03/14/2019 01:39 AM - neels**

- Related to Bug #3839: inter-BSC Handover lacks AoIP Transport Layer Address, i.e. only works with SCCPlite added