

## Cellular Network Infrastructure - Feature #3191

### move meas\_vis and meas\_json over to osmo-bsc.git

04/21/2018 07:21 PM - neels

<b>Status:</b>	Rejected	<b>Start date:</b>	04/21/2018
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	neels	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>			
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Spec Reference:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
meas_vis and meas_json, tooling for meas_feed, should move (or be copied) to osmo-bsc.git. Currently they are in openbsc.git.			
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to Cellular Network Infrastructure - Bug #3192: meas_vis: use the lch...		<b>New</b>	<b>04/21/2018</b>

### History

#### #1 - 04/21/2018 07:22 PM - neels

- Related to Bug #3192: meas\_vis: use the lchan identity as primary key, not the IMSI added

#### #2 - 06/23/2018 06:20 PM - laforge

- Status changed from New to Feedback

- Assignee set to neels

mh. meas\_vis.c appears to be part of osmo-bsc.git ever since the beginning:

```
commit 218e4b4aa0fc6de842ff820dec8e97d1f083268a
Author: Neels Hofmeyr <neels@hofmeyr.de>
Date: Tue Jul 4 23:08:44 2017 +0200
```

pleas clarify?

#### #3 - 07/02/2018 01:31 PM - neels

That commit is part of splitting repositories off openbsc.git. All of osmo-bsc, osmo-msc, osmo-sgsn contain the complete openbsc.git history and it would thus appear that each of them are the initial origin of files.

But I see, meas\_json and meas\_vis have been present in osmo-bsc.git since the split.

So the point now is that they exist both in openbsc.git and in osmo-bsc.git. Should we drop them from openbsc.git?

#### #4 - 07/02/2018 02:00 PM - laforge

On Mon, Jul 02, 2018 at 01:31:27PM +0000, neels [REDMINE] wrote:

But I see, meas\_json and meas\_vis have been present in osmo-bsc.git since the split.

that is IMHO how it should be.

So the point now is that they exist both in openbsc.git and in osmo-bsc.git.

good.

Should we drop them from openbsc.git?

no.

#### #5 - 07/03/2018 10:50 AM - neels

- Status changed from Feedback to Rejected