

## OsmoBTS - Bug #4036

### fn-advance and rts-advance seem to do the same thing?

06/02/2019 02:02 PM - laforge

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	06/02/2019
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	laforge	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>	osmo-bts-trx		
<b>Target version:</b>			
<b>Spec Reference:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
There are two osmo-bts-trx specific settings: fn-advance and rts-advance.			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• they seem to do exactly the same thing: Advance the frame number in order to compensate for delays between osmo-trx and osmo-bts-trx</li><li>• they seem to be used both only in scheduler_trx.c:trx_sched_fn() within very few lines from each other</li><li>• they are simply added together.</li></ul>			
In the default configuration, there's 20 frames of fn-advance and 5 frames of rts-advance, accounting for a total advance of 25 frames (of 4.616ms each, totalling to 115.4ms).			
The documentation also seems wrong, as it claims rts-advance is specific to the PCU while fn-advance is generic. However, I cannot see any difference between those two. Am I missing something?			

### History

#### #1 - 06/02/2019 02:49 PM - laforge

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- % Done changed from 0 to 80

As sylvain points out, only fn-advance is used when pulling bursts out of the bottom of the osmo-bts scheduler. The sum of fn-advance and rts-advance is used when pulling MAC blocks out of Layer2 (LAPDm, RTP, PCUIF).

I've updated the related documentation in <https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-bts/+/14334>

#### #2 - 06/03/2019 07:55 PM - laforge

- Status changed from In Progress to Resolved

- % Done changed from 80 to 100