

libosmocore - Bug #4819

Wrong RLL ERR IND sent during LAPDm re-establishment procedures

10/19/2020 01:16 PM - laforge

Status: Resolved	Start date: 10/19/2020
Priority: Normal	Due date:
Assignee: pespin	% Done: 100%
Category: libosmogsm	
Target version:	
Spec Reference:	
Description In #4549 we've encountered a situation where a MS (by intention or by accident) sends a SABM with L=0 on a already fully established lchan. This currently triggers: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• an RLL ERROR IND "SABM frame with information not allowed in this state" (cause 14)<ul style="list-style-type: none">◦ the cause value is even wrong, as there was no information field present ;)• an UA response (i.e. the re-establishment actually happens) So somehow we send a RLL ERR IND that shouldn't be sent.	
Related issues: Related to OsmoBSC - Feature #4549: Emergency Call Priority / Pre-Emption Feedback 05/12/2020	

History

#1 - 10/19/2020 01:16 PM - laforge

- Related to Feature #4549: Emergency Call Priority / Pre-Emption added

#2 - 10/19/2020 01:21 PM - laforge

- Assignee set to pespin

we should create a TTCN3 test case as part of BTS_Tests_LAPDm that produces this scenario:

- establish a SDCCH like normal, transmit some data back and forth
- send a SABM with L=0 for SAPI=0 from the MS
- expect that the SABM is responded to with a UA
- expect no RLL ERROR IND on the BTS side. Rather, an "ESTABLISH IND", if at all.

#3 - 10/19/2020 01:29 PM - laforge

- Subject changed from *Wrong RLL ERR IND sent during LAPDm re-establishment procedures* to *Wrong RLL ERR IND sent during LAPDm re-establishment procedures*

#4 - 10/19/2020 01:30 PM - laforge

the test should also verify that any L3 messages sent in uplink after the SABM/UA exchange are passed to the Abis/RSL side

#5 - 10/19/2020 06:22 PM - pespin

Test added here:

<https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-ttcn3-hacks/+20793> bts-lapdm: Introduce test TC_normal_reestablishment

However it's not triggering the issue seen in [#4549](#) because lapdm state in BTS is not LAPD_STATE_TIMER_RECOV as in the initial case, but LAPD_STATE_MF_EST.

#6 - 10/20/2020 07:30 AM - laforge

On Mon, Oct 19, 2020 at 06:22:32PM +0000, pespin [REDMINE] wrote:

However it's not triggering the issue seen in [#4549](#) because lapdm state in BTS is not LAPD_STATE_TIMER_RECOV as in the initial case, but LAPD_STATE_MF_EST.

I think the TIMER_RECOV state just means there is some un-acknowledged data, so if you send an I-frame from the Abis/RSL side, which has not yet been acknowledged from the Um/L1CTL side (after T200 expiration, which is certainly < 1s), you should be in that state.

#7 - 10/20/2020 04:47 PM - pespin

- *Status changed from New to Feedback*
- *% Done changed from 0 to 90*

Bug is reproduced by this test:

<https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/osmo-ttcn3-hacks/+20805> bts-lapdm: Introduce test TC_normal_reestablishment_state_unacked

And fixed by (test passes after) this commit:

<https://gerrit.osmocom.org/c/libosmocore/+20807> lapdm: Allow SABM L=0 in Timer Recovery State

#8 - 10/21/2020 05:28 PM - pespin

- *Status changed from Feedback to Resolved*
- *% Done changed from 90 to 100*

Merged, and I also confirm the bug is fixed with a real modem.